Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,177	DAUBENSPECK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Khanh B. Duong	2822
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) Khanh B. Duong.	(3)	
(2) William Sabo (Reg. No. 27,465).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 February 2005</u>	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed: 10 and 16		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate in the contraction of all issues. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		:
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Sabo agreed and authorized the Examiner's proposed amendments to correct errors in claims 10 and 16 and to change the title to reflect the claimed invention. However, no Examiner's Amendment was made since claim 10 was found to be anticipated by Yeh (U.S. Pat. No. 5,169,802).